UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,813	10/30/2003	Donald H. Osterberg JR.	ORCL-2002-183-01	2479
	7590 05/23/200 MURABITO, HAO &		EXAMINER	
TWO NORTH MARKET STREET THIRD FLOOR SAN JOSE, CA 95113			WHIPPLE, BRIAN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/698,813	OSTERBERG, DONALD H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brian P. Whipple	2152			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DID INSIGN TO STATE IN THE MAILING DID INSIGN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u>	May 2008				
•		s action is non-final.				
3)	· <del></del>					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9 and 11-20</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2100

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2, 4-9, and 11-20 are pending in this application and presented for examination.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 5/5/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. As to claim 1, Applicant argues Kaminski fails to discloses an authorization indicator that indicates a source of the request for authorization. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kaminski discloses a request for authorization and corresponding indication of the success or failure of said request is sent back to the very entity that requested authorization ([0036, ln. 1-12). Therefore, the authorization indicator must indicate the source of the request as it is subsequently sent to this very source.
- 4. Further regarding claim 1, Applicant argues Goldman fails to disclose handling receipt of the electronic mail message, including verifying a source address included in the received electronic mail message against an address the authorization indicator is sent to. This argument appears to be substantially duplicative to an argument put forth in the previous

Art Unit: 2100

remarks filed on 1/14/08. Examiner's previous response from the Office action mailed 2/5/08 is repeated here: "Applicant argues the Goldman reference does not teach including verifying a source address included in the received electronic message against an address the authorization indicator is sent to. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Goldman discloses sending an authorization request, or indicator, to the purported sender address, and correspondingly the sender responding to said request ([0063]). Goldman also discloses such an authorization check being done by an administrator verifying authorization of a purported sender and therefore indicating a valid address through authorization ([0063]). Furthermore, Kaminski discloses an authorization indicator (Kaminski: [0036], lines 10-12)."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4-9 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminski et al. (Kaminski), U.S. Publication No. 2005/0044155 A1, in view of Goldman, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0233418 A1.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,813

Art Unit: 2100

Page 4

7. As to claim 1, Kaminski discloses an unsolicited e-mail internet protocol source address verification method (Abstract, ln. 1-3) comprising:

receiving a request for authorization to forward an electronic mail message ([0032], ln. 1-2; [0034], ln. 1-4 and 9-15);

responding to said request for authorization to forward said electronic mail message ([0035], ln. 1-3 and 8-10), wherein a response to said request for authorization includes an authorization indicator that indicates a source of said request for authorization (Kaminski: [0036], lines 10-12); and

handling receipt of said electronic mail message (Fig. 10, item 450).

Kaminski is silent on verifying the source address included in the received said electronic mail message against the address the authorization indicator is sent to.

However, Goldman discloses verifying the source address included in the received said electronic mail message against the address the authorization indicator is sent to ([0063]; the purported address is verified against itself or the manager's address through the use of an authorization request for indicating authorization).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Kaminski by verifying the source address of an electronic mail message against the given address or a manager's address as taught by

Goldman in order to detect spoofed sender addresses, the occurrence of which typically indicates junk e-mail (Goldman, [0063], lines 11-14) and/or allow a manager to control whom is classified as unauthorized in a mail system (Goldman: [0063]).

- 8. As to claim 2, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 1, including said request is initiated by a source of said electronic mail message (Kaminski: Figure 7, item 258 of SENDER'S MAIL CLIENT 14; [0034], lines 9-15) and said request asks a simple mail transfer protocol agent for authorization to send an electronic mail message to a destination serviced by said simple mail transfer protocol agent (Kaminski: [0036], lines 1-4).
- 9. As to claim 4, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 1, including said authorization indicator is send to a source address identified in said request (Kaminski: Figure 7, item 268; [0036], lines 10-12).
- 10. As to claim 5, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 1, including performing an indication generator process in which an authorization indicator is generated (Kaminski: Figure 7, item 268; [0036], lines 10-12).

Art Unit: 2100

11. As to claim 6, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 5, including said authorization indicator is a unique bit string (Kaminski: [0044], lines 1-3; [0045], lines 8-11).

- 12. As to claim 7, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 1, including tracking said source address (Kaminski: [0036], lines 4-9).
- 13. As to claim 8, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 1, including inserting an entry into said electronic mail message indicating said address said authorization indicator is sent to before forwarding said electronic mail message to an end use destination (Kaminski: [0046], lines 13-20).
- 14. As to claim 9, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 1, including extracting a request source address from said request (Kaminski: [0034], lines 9-15; [0035], lines 1-3); and

utilizing said request source address as a destination address in a header file of a return package including authorization indicator information (Kaminski: [0022], lines 20-23; [0025], lines 3-4; [0035], lines 1-3; [0036], lines 10-12).

Art Unit: 2100

15. As to claims 11 and 17, the claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above.

- 16. As to claim 12, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 11, including said instructions direct determination of electronic message authorization (Kaminski: Page 8, left column, line 47; [0032], lines 1-2; [0034], lines 1-4 and 9-15).
- 17. As to claim 13, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 11, including said instructions include:

formulating a request for permission to forward an electronic message including a permission request source address (Kaminski: Figure 7, item 258; [0034], lines 9-15); analyzing said request for permission (Kaminski: [0035], lines 1-3; [0036], lines 1-4); sending a permission reply to said permission request source address (Kaminski: [0036], lines 10-12);

forwarding an electronic message, including said permission indicator in a header (Kaminski: [0044], lines 1-3; [0045], lines 8-11; [0046], lines 13-20); and

tracking said permission request source address and a source address of said electronic message when received (Kaminski: [0036], lines 4-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,813

Art Unit: 2100

18. As to claim 14, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 13, including a message initiator inserts an indication in a header of a communication packet that said initiator is attempting to establish a communication link for a purpose of forwarding a message to a particular destination (Kaminski: [0038], lines 1-11), said header also includes an indication of an initiator or source address (Kaminski: [0025], lines 3-5).

Page 8

- 19. As to claim 15, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 13, including said permission reply includes a permission indicator (Kaminski: [0036], lines 10-12).
- 20. As to claim 16, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 15, including a time stamp is maintained of when said permission indicator is generated (Kaminski: [0046], lines 5-11).
- 21. As to claim 18, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 17, including transmitting a request to send said unsolicited electronic message, wherein said request includes a request sender's identification (Kaminski: [0032], lines 1-2; [0034], lines 1-4 and 9-15).

22. As to claim 19, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 18, including said request is transmitted to a simple mail transfer protocol agent and said simple mail transfer protocol agent forwards a verification indictor to a request sender's source address (Kaminski: [0034], lines 9-15; [0035], lines 1-3).

Page 9

23. As to claim 20, Kaminski and Goldman disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 19, including said verification indicator is a unique bit string (Kaminski: [0044], lines 1-3; [0045], lines 8-11).

## Conclusion

24. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action.

In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Whipple whose telephone number is (571)270-1244. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,813

Page 11

Art Unit: 2100

Brian P. Whipple
/B. P. W./
Examiner, Art Unit 2152
5/13/08
/John Follansbee/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2151